

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,049	04/02/2004	Graham Scott	I4060/166544 (IRC253CON)	2258
·	590 11/17/2004 ATT ESO		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA	A 30309		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Anniinatina No		11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/817,049	SCOTT ET AL.	
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ap	oril 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminar	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 25 LLC C . \$ 440/s) (d) (f)	_
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit			
application from the International Bureau		24 Williams Manorial Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	чин прричанон (PTO-102)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 27, drawn to a woven floor covering, classified in class 442, subclass
 181.
 - II. Claims 28 35, drawn to a method of making a woven floor covering, classified in class 156, subclass 60+.
 - III. Claims 36 and 37, drawn to a method of installing a carpet, classified in class 156, subclass 304.7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the woven floor covering can be produced by forming the resilient layer directly on the reinforcing layer and then bonding the other layers to the resilient layer and reinforcing layer, instead of forming the resilient layer and then bonding the layer to the reinforcement layer.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the floor covering can be placed on the floor by bonding the

Application/Control Number: 10/817,049

Art Unit: 1771

adjacent edges of the floor covering together and laying the floor covering on the floor, instead of bonding the floor covering directly to the floor.

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. One method involves bonding together various layers to produce a composite and the other method involves covering a floor with adjacent floor tiles.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to John Pratt on October 27, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made because a written restriction was requested.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jenna-Leigh Befumo November 8, 2004